



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/365,243
 07/30/99
 SLATTERY
 T
 7442.0010

QM22/0405

EXAMINER

ROBERT F ROTELLA FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315 HARRIS, C

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

3713 (

DATE MAILED:

04/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/365,243	SLATTERY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chanda L. Harris	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u> March 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.				
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  20) Other:				

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gillio (U.S. Patent No. 5,882,206).
- 3. Gillio discloses [Claims 1-4, 6-10,12-16,18-22, 23-28,30-33,36-41, 43-45, 48-53, 55-57, 60-63]:
- -a user computer for accepting device control information reflecting at least one instruction regarding at least one task to be performed as part of a training exercise:
- -means for receiving the device control information:
- -means for transferring the device control information to the device so that the user can exercise control over the device for the purposes of training:

The testing mode provides questions before, during and after the testing simulation and requests the user to perform specific tasks as well as using text questions. The task questions require the user to go through the virtual image data in a particular manner using the scope or other virtual tool device and can determine whether or not the test taker is correctly performing the operation. Col.3: 1-7

Telesurgery can be performed using a simulator according to the present invention in which a surgeon performs functions using a virtual mock-up of surgical instruments while a robot in a remote location performs the actual surgery based on the surgeon's movements relating to the virtual surgery devices. This implementation of telesurgery

Art Unit: 3713

could also be used in other applications in which a simulator is implemented to perform specific tasks or actual remote tasks are performed using a simulator while a robotic device performs the actual task based on the simulated movements. Col.3: 15-25

In such an embodiment, a user walks up to the kiosk and plugs in his/her personal scope or scopes, for example. In another embodiment, a communication link to the simulator such as a telephone or satellite link may be used in combination with a virtual scope, joystick etc. in a remote location. Alternatively, a remote home computer can be used at which the user moves the virtual scope or joystick, etc., and uses the processing power of a powerful computer such as an IBM mainframe computer, for example. Col.8: 45-56

Please review Cols.17-18.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 11, 17, 29, 34-35, 42, 46-47, 54, 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillio.
- 6. Gillio does not expressly disclose a firewall between the Internet and device controller or a means for transferring information to the firewall regarding permitting the user to access the device controller [Claims 5, 11, 17, 29, 42, 54]. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gillio's invention to include such limitations to regulate access and preserve the propriety of devices or applications. Moreover, using firewalls between the Internet to

Art Unit: 3713

regulate user access to particular applications or devices is old and well known in the art.

7. Gillio does not expressly disclose a router or a switch [Claims 46-47, 58-59]. However, these network devices would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Gillio's invention for teaching in the field of operating network devices as Gillio's invention lends itself to other applications:

The present invention can also be used in a tele-robotics application for other implementations other than virtual surgery. For example, a virtual industrial application or other virtual procedure can be implemented according to an embodiment of the present invention. Col.17: 47-51

#### Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

\*Hon (U.S. Patent No. 6,074,213)

-remote apparatus for multi-locational training of medical teams

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner regrets the delay in citation of the new prior art and the withdrawal of previously allowed claims. Therefore, this action is made non-final. Examiner also appreciated the Interview with Chuck Chesney. It was very helpful. Examiner is more than willing to entertain any questions that arise out of the new rejection and the prior art applied to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3713

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanda L. Harris whose telephone number is 703-308-8358. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 703-308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Chanda L. Harris Examiner Art Unit 3713

ch.

March 29, 2001

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700